

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/856,296	07/13/2001	Tsutomu Minami	2001-0631A	6695
513	7590 12/15/2005		EXAMINER	
	TH, LIND & PONAC	JOHNSON, EDWARD M		
2033 K STRE	ET N. W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20006-1021		1754	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			V
-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
055 - 4 - 45 9	09/856,296	MINAMI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edward M. Johnson	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate approach of the second se	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 D	December 2005.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 47-59 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 47-59 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1754

T

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 47-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Tada et al. WO98/27021 (translated in US 6,379,776).

Regarding claim 47, Tada '776 discloses a method for making titania (see column 2, lines 48-50) comprising hydrolyzing a titanium compound (see column 6, lines 47-65 and Embodiments 2-3), and further reacting with water at 10 degrees Celsius to boiling point to form an anatase titania coating (see column 8, lines 28-38 and column 14, lines 39-45); and forming a sol-gel containing metal oxide fine particles and a hydrolysable, condensable, polymerizable, organometallic compound (column 5,

lines 57-63). Tada further discloses the sol-gel method (see abstract, column 4, and Examples), which would inherently involve a solution containing the hydrolyzed titanium compound.

Regarding claims 48-51, Tada '776 discloses titanium tetraisopropoxide and organosilane (see abstract and column 14, lines 25-32).

Regarding claims 52-53, Tada '776 discloses further reacting with water at 10 degrees Celsius to boiling point.

Regarding claim 39-40, 54-57 Tada '776 discloses doping with a fluorine compound (see column 5, lines 6-17) to produce a photocatalytic film (see column 4, lines 29-30) and an anatase titania coating (see column 8, lines 28-38 and column 14, lines 39-45) and a photocatalytic film (see column 4, lines 29-30).

Regarding claims 45-46, 58-59, Tada '776 discloses polyethylene glycol coating liquid (see column 9, lines 16-21).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1754

4. Claims 47-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Remy '884.

Regarding claim 47, Remy '884 discloses a process for producing titanium oxide comprising hydrolyzing a titanium compound (see column 3, lines 1-38) and heating the mixture, which contains water (see column 3, lines 30-31) at 80-100 degrees Celsius followed by drying (see column 3, lines 39-46), to produce anatase titania (see column 3, lines 54-59).

Remy '884 fails to specifically disclose the organic polymer in the solution and forming the gel film on the substrate.

It is considered that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a water-soluble organic polymer into the solution in the process of Remy '884 because Remy '884 discloses incorporation of an organic polymer (see column 5, lines 13-29) when the composition is in aqueous form or solution (see column 4, lines 35-39). Remy '884 further discloses synthesis from titanium alkoxide (see column 10, lines 55-56).

Regarding claims 48-51, Remy '884 discloses synthesis from titanium alkoxide and siloxane (see column 10, lines 55-56).

Regarding claims 52-53, Remy '884 discloses heating the mixture, which contains water (see column 3, lines 30-31) at 80-

100 degrees Celsius to produce iron doped titanium oxide (see Example 1).

Regarding claims 54-57 it is considered that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a gel film on a substrate to produce a film in the process of Remy '884 because Remy '884 discloses forming a film and solubility on a support (see column 4, lines 28-37 and column 5, lines 60-64).

Regarding claims 58-59, Remy '884 discloses polyethylene glycol (see column 4, lines 47-51).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/6/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is argued that with regard to Tada... produce anatase titania. This is not persuasive because Tada '776 discloses doping with a fluorine compound (see column 5, lines 6-17) to produce a photocatalytic film (see column 4, lines 29-30) and an anatase titania coating (see column 8, lines 28-38 and column 14, lines 39-45) and a photocatalytic film (see column 4, lines 29-30). Tada further discloses the sol-gel method (see abstract, column 4, and Examples), which would inherently involve a solution containing the hydrolyzed titanium compound.

Art Unit: 1754

It is argued that with regard to Remy... surface properties. This is not persuasive because it is considered that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a gel film on a substrate to produce a film in the process of Remy '884 because Remy '884 discloses forming a film and solubility on a support (see column 4, lines 28-37 and column 5, lines 60-64).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1352. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the

Art Unit: 1754

, **T**

receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-0987.

M. M. M. Edward M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754

Page 7

EMJ

December 12, 2005